intention to permit the General Assembly to enlarge
its power to prescribe duties by defining the limits of those
duties and it seems to me that that is covered by, first of all
the first sentence says, "He shall be the chief legal officer
of the state."

The committee report makes it clear what they mean by that and I find nothing in the language to suggest that they can redefine that or even define it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Case.

DELEGATE CASE: The Constitutional provision before us no where defines responsibilite as I understand it and I am suggesting to you that the legislative declaration that such and such are his responsibilities, wouldn't that be binding on the courts who would say nay?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Bamberger.

DELEGATE BAMBERGER: I do not see how the General Assembly could say that a function which is not a function of a lawyer for the state, is part of the responsibility of the chief legal officer. What we have said is that he is the lawyer for the state and they may not change him into something else.